

September 4, 2020

Re: Group Living Text Amendment Survey Results

---

Denver INC recently surveyed its membership regarding how its member RNOs responded to the proposed Group Living Text Amendment.

The survey asked three questions:

1. Did your RNO take a position regarding the Group Living Text Amendment, as currently proposed by Denver's Planning Board?
2. If yes, please submit the RNO's position paper to Denver INC.
3. If no, please describe why not.

A total of 35 RNOs responded to the survey, with 17 having a formal position and the remaining 18 having no formal position. The majority of RNOs that have not taken a formal position explained that they have not had adequate time to review the text amendment with their members. Some indicated that COVID has prevented them from having the opportunity to host meetings and to engage their community.

Of the 17 RNOs who have taken a formal position, 14 are opposed and 3 are supportive. Additionally, we are aware of three Denver INC RNO members who did not answer the survey but did submit formal petitions to the Denver Planning Board. This brings the total number of Denver INC RNO members with a stated position to 20, of which 85% are opposed and 15% are in support. A list of the RNOs and their stated positions is attached.

We will report the results to Denver INC's RNO members next week. We also plan to host three meetings, under ZAP, to facilitate a discussion amongst our members of the three separate portions of the Group Living Text Amendment.

RNOs in Support	RNOs Opposed
Baker Historic District	Cherry Creek East Association
Capitol Hill United Neighbors	Cherry Creek North NA
Curtis Park Neighborhood	City Park Friends & Neighbors
	Country Club Historic Neighborhood
	Cranmer Park Civic Association
	Lowry United Neighbors
	Montbello 2020
	Montbello Neighborhood Improvement Association
	South Hilltop NA
	Stokes Place/Green Bowers
	Virginia Village
	Washington Park East Neighborhood Association
	West Washington Park NA
	Winston Downs Community Association
	Berkley Regis NA*
	Goldsmith Southeast Neighborhood Organization*
	University Hills NA*

\* Did not complete Denver INC survey, but did submit position paper to Denver Planning Board.

RNOs Expressing No Formal Position and Why

*Cherry Hills Vista Community Assoc:* Need a meeting, officers strongly oppose.

*Congress Park Neighbors:* Have not met since February.

*Cory Merrill:* Majority of residents have indicated opposition, but no formal position taken.

*Crestmoor Filing 2:* Need a meeting, but COVID has made it difficult.

*Friends & Neighbors for Cheesman Park:* Not enough time to fully review.

*Greater Park Hill Community:* Have not had sufficient time to address it.

*Highland United Neighbors Inc:* Other issues have dominated their discussions.

*Hilltop Heritage:* Cranmer Park/Hilltop is primary RNO.

*Inspiration Point:* No meeting since January.

*La Alma Lincoln Park Neighborhood Association:* Only one meeting since March.

*Platte Park Peoples Association:* Difficult to properly inform and poll neighbors.

*Rosedale Harvard Gulch Neighborhood Association:* Meetings are quarterly so haven't addressed it

*Sunny Side United Neighbors:* Have not had an opportunity to engage the community

*Swallow Hill Neighborhood Association:* Small RNO. President does not support.

*Unite North Metro Denver:* We weren't asked to take a position.

*University Neighbors:* Difference in opinions and not able to address them since online meetings are not well attended.

*University Park Community Council:* Board is divided.

*Uptown on the Hill:* Small RNO and can not address every issue.